

MINUTES OF REDRESSAL COMMITTEE MEETING FOR REDRESSAL OF GRIEVANCES OF DIFFERENT FIRMS AGAINST TENDER OF SUTURES ITEMS FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR 2022-23 HELD ON 16-05-2022 AT 10:30 AM IN THE MEETING ROOM PURCHASE CELL QU/AID-E-AZAM MEDICAL COLLEGE B.V.HOSPITAL, BAHAWALPUR.

Presentations of the different firms were discussed as per agenda & decisions were made accordingly.

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C/S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
01	M/S Medlution Health Care, Karachi	Item No. 20 (Polypropylene Knitted Non Absorbable / Absorbable Mesh Undyed All Sizes 15x15) Item No. 21 (Polypropylene Knitted Non Absorbable Mesh Undyed All Sizes 6 x 11)	Non responsive due to non provision of samples.	The firm submitted grievance that our shipment was released in delayed time due to Govt. official matter and disturbance of flight schedule in this regards it is requested to allow the submission of sample which we come up in meeting. So that status will be reviewed.	The committee accepts the firm presentation and ask the End User to check the samples. As per expert opinion of end user the committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 20 & 21.

(Handwritten signatures and initials)


Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
02	M/S Anwar & Sons, Rwp	<p>Item No. 14, 15 & 16 (different size Polyglactin / Polyglycolic Acid / Lectomer)</p> <p>Item No. 22, 24, 26, 33 & 34 (different size Polypropylene)</p> <p>Item No. 18 (Polyglactin / Polyglycolic Acid / Lectomer Size 6/0)</p> <p>Item No. 36 (Surgical Steel Wires No. 5)</p>	<p>Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user (Weak Needle)</p> <p>Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user (Weak Needle)</p> <p>Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user (Thread Break Easily)</p> <p>Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user (Weak Wire)</p>	<p>The firm submitted grievance SMI needles are made of the same wire diameter as of those approved by the committee. we have been supplying the products to various hospitals and never received any complained of needle quality.</p>	<p>The committee discussed the matter in detail with end user. as per expert opinion of end user the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.</p>


hms


[Signature]


[Signature]


Sr. No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
03	M/S K.S Agencies, Karachi	Item No. 01, 02 03, 04 05 (different size Black Silk Braided) Item No. 07, 08, 09, 10, (different size Catgut Chromic) Item No. 14, 15, 16, 17 (Different size Polyglactin / Polyglycolic Acid / Lectomer) Item No. 22, 24, 26 (Different size Polypropylene)	None responsive due to sample rejected by end user (Thread break) None responsive due to sample rejected by end user (Thread break) (weak swag) None responsive due to sample rejected by end user (Thread weak) (weak swag) None responsive due to sample rejected by end user (Thread weak)	The firm submitted grievance to reevaluate the sample	The committee ask the end user to recheck the samples. The end user reported:- i. weak Thread ii. Weak Swag iii. Thread Break iv. Blunt Needle In the light of expert opinion of end user the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.



Dr. Umair Ahmad
 Associate Professor of Surgery
 (End User)



Senior Law Officer
 QAMC, Bahawalpur
 (Member)


Malik Muhammad Zaem
 Bio Medical Engineer Kidney center
 (Member)


Prof. Dr. Muntaz Rasool
 Professor of Urology Department
 (Member)


Dr. Irfan Aslam
 Pharmacist B.V. Hospital Bahawalpur
 (Member)


Prof. Dr. Shahadat Hussain
 Professor of Cardiology, BVH
 (Member)


Prof. Dr. Mughes Ameen
 Head of Plastic Surgery Department,
 QAMC, BVH Bahawalpur
 (Chairman of the Committee)